



# Covid-19 Government-backed Loans and MSMEs Liquidity and Earnings: Gender results from two RCTs

Maikol Cerda Maria Paula Gerardino Paul Gertler Sean Higgins Ana Maria Montoya Eric Parrado Raimundo Undurraga Patricia Yañez-Pagans

> EBRD-We-Fi-CEPR Research Conference October 23rd, 2023

\*\* The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not represent those of the IDB, IDB Invest, its Board of Executive Directors or the countries they represent.



- The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant impact on businesses worldwide, with heterogenous effects (e.g. Apedo-Amah et al. 2020).
- LAC region was the most affected among emerging and developing regions in 2020 in terms on GDP growth (OECD, 2020), with smaller firms being disproportionately affected (e.g. Cerda et al. 2023, Bottan et al. 2021).
- Several LAC governments enacted MSME relief programs, including government-backed commercial loans which allowed private banks to lend to firms that may have not access credit otherwise, including women-led/owned MSMEs.



## Motivation: WSMEs limited access to finance

- Gender inequalities in credit access have been widely documented.
- In developing economies, women are 6% less likely to have a bank account than men, but large heterogeneities across countries (WBG 2021 Global Findex Report). For LAC, 7% gap.
- Unequal access to credit could be due to **demand factors** (women ask for less credit) or **supply factors** (banks discriminate against women).
- Multiple steps being taken to increase access to finance to women-led/owned firms, but still limited evidence on the impacts of credit access on WSMEs.

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

## What this research is about

- Leverage on COVID relief programs to better understand what the impacts of access to credit of MSMEs and gender differences.
- Run **two RCTs** in collaboration with two large private banks in **Chile and Colombia** executing large government-backed guaranteed loan programs.
- Focus on two key firm outcomes: (i) liquidity and (ii) earnings.
- Focus mainly of **short-term effects.** (6-12 months after RCT concluded observed)

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

# **Related literature**

- Most gender evidence concentrated on microcredit with mixed results:
  - Positive effects on business growth for women (e.g. Arraiz, 2023; Khaleque 2018)
  - Null or negative effects for women (e.g. Karlan and Zinman, 2011; Bandiera et al. 2013, Buvinic et al. 2015, Cai et al. 2021).
  - Other effects such as improved risk coping, strenghten community ties, increase access to informal credit (Karlan and Zinman, 2011).
- For average borrower, if credit is **targeted/design appropriately** it could have **positive effects** (e.g. Banerjee et al. 2019, Battaglia et al. 2021)
- Evidence on women-led/owned SMEs is growing but still scarce (Siegrist, 2022)

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

# **Preview of results**

- Loan offers significantly increased firm liquidity, but with gender differences in commercial debt. Intent-to-treat (ITT) effect for MSMEs led by men is 31% versus 13% for women.
- Loan offers have no impact on deposits, but increase earnings (17% increase in Chile). Despite unequal access to commercial liquidity, no heterogenous effects for WSMEs on earnings.
- Largest impacts and gaps on **smaller firms** and those located **outside the capital**.

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### Government backed guaranteed loans

| Description                  | Chile        | Colombia            |  |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| - Program                    | FOGAPE       | Unidos por Colombia |  |
| - First Wave Date            | April 2020   | April 2020          |  |
| - Second Wave Date           | January 2021 | July 2021           |  |
| - Target                     | MSMEs        | MSMEs               |  |
| - Government Guarantee       | Up to 80%    | 50-90%              |  |
| - Disbursed Amount (in 2020) | US\$ 6.6B    | US\$ 2.4B           |  |
| - % of the GDP               | 2.6          | 0.9                 |  |

Background

Methodology •••••• Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

# Experimental design

- Random promotion of loan access by two banks focused on MSMEs.
  - Banks offered loans via targeted emails.
- **Experimental sample** obtained from a first screening performed by the banks. For Colombia, followed by a second screening by researchers based on number of financial transactions firms recently conducted.

#### Sample stratification

- Chile: Credit score, sales, type (received/accepted COVID loan offer prior to experiment) (27).
- Colombia: Type and number of months making deposits (36).
- Loan offered was unconditional, once in treated sample. BUT banks could decide on the loan size based on further financial assessment.

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

# **RCTs Timeline of Implementation**



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

## Experimental design

| Description                 | Chile        | Colombia     | Joint  |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| - Financial Partner         | Private Bank | Private Bank |        |
| - Number of MSMEs:          | 10,072       | 3,379        | 13,451 |
| - Randomization Split (%)   | 50-50        | 70-30        | 55-45  |
| - Treatment Group           | 5,069        | 2,375        | 7,444  |
| - Control Group             | 5,003        | 1,004        | 6,007  |
| - MSMEs outside capital (%) | 50           | 50           | 50     |

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

# Sample distribution by gender, take-up rates and compliance

| Variable | _     | MSMEs   |        |         |       | Comp    | liance |         |
|----------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|
|          | Treat | Control | Total  | % Total | Treat | Control | Total  | % Total |
| Total    | 7,444 | 6,007   | 13,451 |         | 2,077 | 107     | 2,184  |         |
| Women    | 2,123 | 1,833   | 3,956  | 29.4    | 587   | 35      | 622    | 28.5    |
| Men      | 5,198 | 4,028   | 9,226  | 68.6    | 1,477 | 71      | 1,548  | 70.9    |
| Missing  | 123   | 146     | 269    | 2.0     | 13    | 1       | 14     | 0.6     |

No gender differences in take-up rates (28%).

・ロト・西ト・山下・ 山下・ 日・ ろくぐ

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

# Data and definitions

- Liquidity:
  - Debt administrative data information from January 2019 to July-August 2022. Monthly data provided by banks but obtained from regulators or credit bureau.
  - Focus on commercial and total debt. Commercial is more than 80% of total debt.
- Earnings:
  - Administrative data on total amount of deposits provided by partner banks from September 2019 to May 2022. Daily or weekly data.
  - Monthly sales registered by IRS from January 2019 to June 2022 (Chile).
- Women-owned/led MSME definition:
  - Chile: Gender of the main shareholder.
  - Colombia: 50% or more of shares are owned by women.
  - For sole proprietors based in client's name.

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

くしゃ 人間 マイボットボット 日 うんの

# **Estimation strategy**

#### Reduced form regressions (ITT):

 $\begin{aligned} Y_{i} &= \alpha + \beta_{T} \operatorname{\mathit{Treat}}_{i} + \beta_{W} \operatorname{\mathit{WomenLead}}_{i} + \beta_{T-W} \operatorname{\mathit{Treat}}_{i} \times \operatorname{\mathit{WomenLead}}_{i} \\ &+ \beta_{4} \operatorname{\mathit{Miss}}_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k} \operatorname{\mathit{stratum}}_{k,i} + \delta \mathbf{y}_{i,\text{pre}} + \varepsilon_{i} \end{aligned}$ 

#### Where:

- Y<sub>i</sub> outcome variable in logs and upper winsorized at top 5%.
- *Miss*<sub>i</sub> dummy if firm i is missing the gender information.
- stratum<sub>k,i</sub> strata FE.
- *y<sub>i,pre</sub>* lag of outcome of interest (average per month).
- ε<sub>i</sub> heteroskedastic-robust standard errors.

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results

#### **Balance checks**

| Variable            | Ν      | Control | Mean       |         |
|---------------------|--------|---------|------------|---------|
|                     | Firms  | Mean    | Difference |         |
| Deposits per month  | 13,451 | 2.021   | -0.051**   | -0.004  |
|                     |        | (0.026) | (0.022)    | (0.021) |
| Liquidity per month | 13,451 | 4.025   | 0.033      | 0.030   |
|                     |        | (0.037) | (0.030)    | (0.028) |
| Firm Age            | 12,944 | 13.797  | 0.117      | -0.098  |
|                     |        | (0.166) | (0.133)    | (0.131) |
| Legal Entity        | 13,448 | 0.348   | -0.014     | 0.005   |
|                     |        | (0.009) | (0.008)    | (0.008) |
| Capital Location    | 13,385 | 0.227   | -0.007     | 0.003   |
|                     |        | (0.010) | (0.008)    | (0.009) |
| Gender Owner        | 9,390  | 0.255   | -0.012     | -0.013  |
|                     |        | (0.010) | (0.008)    | (0.008) |
| Country FE          |        |         | Yes        | No      |
| Strata FE           |        |         | No.        | Yes .   |

5 9 Q Q



## Gender gaps in loans offers by firm size and location





▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results •0000000

# Liquidity effects

|                    | Comm     | Total    | Comm     | Total    |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Treat              | 0.264*** | 0.157*** | 0.311*** | 0.161*** |
|                    | (0.042)  | (0.036)  | (0.048)  | (0.04)   |
| Woman Lead         |          |          | 0.046    | 0.016    |
|                    |          |          | (0.058)  | (0.05)   |
| Treat x Woman Lead |          |          | -0.184** | -0.033   |
|                    |          |          | (0.085)  | (0.068)  |
| Ν                  | 13,451   | 13,451   | 13,451   | 13,451   |
| Strata FE          | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      |
| Lagged debt        | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      |

Robust standard errors in parenthesis. \*Sign at 10%. \*\*5%. \*\*\*1% Lagged debt of 1 year pre-RCT period.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Treatment

Treatment + Interaction

Intention to Treat Effect

-0.2 -0.4-0.6

0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 Jan-19 Jul-19 Jan-20 Jul-20 Jul-21 Jan-22 Jul-22 Jan-21

RCT start (Mar-21)

RCT end (Dec-21)

#### Monthly effects on commercial liquidity

95% CI

ē

Results 0000000

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistic

Results

## Monthly effects on total liquidity



◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶ □ のへで





But, deposits may **not be the best proxy for earnings** (low use during COVID or other banks).

◆ロ▶ ◆母▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistic

Results

#### Effects on sales - Chile sample

|                    | $y_i = Log$ | $y_i = Log(Average Sales Amount + 1)$ |          |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Treat              | 0.175**     | 0.155**                               | 0.176*** |  |  |
|                    | (0.078)     | (0.066)                               | (0.063)  |  |  |
| Woman Lead         | -0.015      | 0.151*                                | 0.091    |  |  |
|                    | (0.099)     | (0.084)                               | (0.079)  |  |  |
| Treat x Woman Lead | -0.025      | -0.040                                | -0.064   |  |  |
|                    | (0.137)     | (0.115)                               | (0.110)  |  |  |
| N                  | 10,072      | 10072                                 | 10,072   |  |  |
| Lag Sales          | No          | Yes                                   | Yes      |  |  |
| Strata FE          | No          | No                                    | Yes      |  |  |

Robust standard errors in parenthesis. \*Sign at 10%. \*\*5%. \*\*\*1% Lagged sales of 1 year pre-RCT period.

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results 00000000

## Other analyses and robustness checks

**No effects of treatment on deliquency rates** (Colombia). Rates at around 20%.

Results are maintained under different specifications:

- Using 3 alternative scenarios as the **launching and** ending periods of the RCT.
- With and without stratum FE and **varying the definition lagged outcome variables** (1 year versus full pre-RCT period).
- Given imperfect compliance, using IV estimation strategy.

Background

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results ○○○○○○●○

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

## Some study limitations

- Data on women-led/owned busineses is relatively small. Almost 4K observations (30% of total sample). We may not have the power to detect some small effects.
- Data not fully comparable/available for both countries in some interesting outcomes. Earnings data from IRS only for Chile. Delinquency rates only available for Colombia.

Methodology

Descriptive Statistics

Results

# Conclusions and policy implications

- Wide-open public financial policies may help to reduce gender gaps in credit access and contribute to firm growth.
- Despite providing wider availability of credit, **we still observed gender gaps** with women showing lower improvements in commercial liquidity compared to men.
- **Targeted policies may be needed**. Gender gaps more pronounced on smaller firms and outside capital.
- Role of guaranteed loans in adverse external conditions, which could be supported by public sector but also by DFIs.