Gender Stereotypes and Entrepreneur Financing

by Camille Hebert

Discussion by: Çağatay Bircan (EBRD & UCL)

EBRD, We-Fi, CEPR Research Conference on Financing Women-Led SMEs 23-24 October 2023

• <u>Question</u>: Can stereotypes explain the gender gap in equity funding for entrepreneurs?

- <u>Question</u>: Can stereotypes explain the gender gap in equity funding for entrepreneurs?
- Setting: France, 2002-2018, combination of admin + survey data
 - Survey responses help capture "ambition" / quality

- <u>Question</u>: Can stereotypes explain the gender gap in equity funding for entrepreneurs?
- <u>Setting</u>: France, 2002-2018, combination of admin + survey data - Survey responses help capture "ambition" / guality
- Answer:
 - Female entrepreneurs are less likely to receive external equity & VC funding even after conditioning on ability and motivation
 - Self-stereotyping (but not necessarily investor stereotypes) helps explain the gap

- <u>Question</u>: Can stereotypes explain the gender gap in equity funding for entrepreneurs?
- <u>Setting:</u> France, 2002-2018, combination of admin + survey data
 - Survey responses help capture "ambition" / quality
- Answer:
 - Female entrepreneurs are less likely to receive external equity & VC funding even after conditioning on ability and motivation
 - Self-stereotyping (but not necessarily investor stereotypes) helps explain the gap
- <u>Mechanisms:</u>
 - Female entrepreneurs select out of market for equity in anticipation of discrimination

- <u>Question</u>: Can stereotypes explain the gender gap in equity funding for entrepreneurs?
- <u>Setting</u>: France, 2002-2018, combination of admin + survey data
 Survey responses help capture "ambition" / guality
 - Survey responses help capture ambition /
- Answer:
 - Female entrepreneurs are less likely to receive external equity & VC funding even after conditioning on ability and motivation
 - Self-stereotyping (but not necessarily investor stereotypes) helps explain the gap
- <u>Mechanisms:</u>
 - Female entrepreneurs select out of market for equity in anticipation of discrimination
- Excellent paper and a must-read!

- 1. Discuss and rule out (or incorporate) alternative mechanisms
- 2. Push the data further
- 3. Defining entrepreneurial success

• Why does discrimination (taste-based or statistical) exist only in market for equity and not for debt? Extant literature shows the latter.

- Why does discrimination (taste-based or statistical) exist only in market for equity and not for debt? Extant literature shows the latter.
- Credit-scoring is not always feasible & does not work well for start-ups.

- Why does discrimination (taste-based or statistical) exist only in market for equity and not for debt? Extant literature shows the latter.
- Credit-scoring is not always feasible & does not work well for start-ups.
- What really sets apart equity/VC from debt is (i) return expectations, and (ii) time frame for high growth.
 - men and women may differ in their risk preferences and business strategy
 - they may also differ in their bargaining power
 - both can lead to out-selection but come across as anticipated discrimination

- Why does discrimination (taste-based or statistical) exist only in market for equity and not for debt? Extant literature shows the latter.
- Credit-scoring is not always feasible & does not work well for start-ups.
- What really sets apart equity/VC from debt is (i) return expectations, and (ii) time frame for high growth.
 - men and women may differ in their risk preferences and business strategy
 - they may also differ in their bargaining power
 - both can lead to out-selection but come across as anticipated discrimination
- Even in male-dominated sectors, women are less likely to state high-growth ambitions (Table 3, panel B).

- Why does discrimination (taste-based or statistical) exist only in market for equity and not for debt? Extant literature shows the latter.
- Credit-scoring is not always feasible & does not work well for start-ups.
- What really sets apart equity/VC from debt is (i) return expectations, and (ii) time frame for high growth.
 - men and women may differ in their risk preferences and business strategy
 - they may also differ in their bargaining power
 - both can lead to out-selection but come across as anticipated discrimination
- Even in male-dominated sectors, women are less likely to state high-growth ambitions (Table 3, panel B).
- Restricting the sample to revealed "types" (Table IA.7): not much of a gender gap for seeking VC funding, but gap for external equity remains
 - different funding preferences

Comment #2 - Possible to exploit more variation in the data?

• Self-stereotyping is inherently linked with endogenous sorting.

Comment #2 - Possible to exploit more variation in the data?

- Self-stereotyping is inherently linked with endogenous sorting.
- Definition of male- vs. female-dominated sectors can be susceptible to endogenous sorting based on perceived risk of success.
 - can you exploit variation across male-dominated sectors? Not every male-dominated sector might feature discrimination.
 - any geographic variation that means sectors may be male-dominated in some regions but female-dominated in others?
 - perhaps look at gender distribution of employees or sub-sample of equity-backed entrepreneurs as an alternative?

Comment #2 - Possible to exploit more variation in the data?

- Self-stereotyping is inherently linked with endogenous sorting.
- Definition of male- vs. female-dominated sectors can be susceptible to endogenous sorting based on perceived risk of success.
 - can you exploit variation across male-dominated sectors? Not every male-dominated sector might feature discrimination.
 - any geographic variation that means sectors may be male-dominated in some regions but female-dominated in others?
 - perhaps look at gender distribution of employees or sub-sample of equity-backed entrepreneurs as an alternative?
- How to get at marginal entrepreneurs to establish the Becker (1993) test credibly
 - Supply: could you exploit cyclical availability of VC funding (possibly vs. debt funding credit supply shocks) to identify marginal entrepreneurs?
 - Demand: any information in the survey to tease out risk appetite / strategy?

- A firm's "exit" from a dataset might actually be good news
 - acquisitions or mergers usually ultimate goal

- A firm's "exit" from a dataset might actually be good news
 - acquisitions or mergers usually ultimate goal
- Female entrepreneurs may suffer discrimination in the short run but be able to switch to alternative sources of funding in the long run
 - This would reconcile the loss of effects in longer horizons

Good luck!